IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CASE NO.: **CWP No.1434 of 2007**
DATE OF DECISION: January 30, 2007

MAUJI RAM ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.S. MADAN.

PRESENT: MR. R.S. SANGWAN, ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER.

ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner claims regularization in terms of the policy of the State Government (Annexure P-2, P-3 & P-4). Counsel for the petitioner states that he has also given an advance notice (Annexure P-5) to the respondents and the petitioner would be satisfied if his notice be decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Addl.A.G., Haryana accepts notice.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the notice (Annexure P-5) given by the petitioner in accordance with ratio of judgement rendered in *State of Karnataka* vs. *Uma Devi* reported as 2006(4) JT 420 within a period of 6 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ASHUTOSH MOHUNTA)
JUDGE

January 30, 2007
Gulati

(R.S. MADAN)
JUDGE